Designing a Review of Tax Preferences

Report highlights March 2021

Idaho has many tax preferences but little information about the extent to which they meet the Legislature's goals.

Known

Estimated

166 or more credits, exemptions, and other tax preferences exist in Idaho's income, property, and sales tax code.

While the Legislature systematically reviews direct spending each year, it has no process for reviewing tax preferences.

If the Legislature is satisfied with current tools, it could continue with the status quo by reviewing agency reports and requesting ad-hoc information. Alternatively, Idaho could develop a system to regularly review tax preferences.

34 states have a systematic review of tax preferences in statute, including Montana, Oregon, Utah, and Washington.

Oregon, Utah, and Washington.

The Legislature is managing tax policy with relatively little known information.

Taxes collected

Assessed value of taxable property

Assessed value of 15 exempt categories of

Legislative history of tax preferences

Tax provisions that constitute tax preferences

Value of income, sales, and insurance premium tax preferences

Value of services not taxed

How changes in tax code affect other preferences

Revenue impacts of tax preferences

Assessed value of most types of exempt property

Unknown Value of preferences with outdated estimates

Whether preferences have intended or unintended impacts

Whether preferences have a uniform impact across the state

View the report:

www.legislature.idaho.gov/ope/

We identified four questions that the Legislature should answer if it chooses to design a systematic review of tax preferences.



Considerations for policymakers

A successful review must

be motivated by the **Legislature**'s priorities,

have a strategy to inform policy,

have a clearly defined scope,

and provide sufficient resources.

Other states have changed their review process over time. The Idaho Legislature can start with a small scope and later adapt the review based on its experience and evolving needs.

1	What values and goals motivate the Legislature's review of
	tax preferences?
	Options include:
	Simplify the tax code
	Regularly examine the performance of tax preferences
	Address issues with a broad impact with dispersed costs or benefits
2	How will the Legislature ensure that a review informs policy? Options include:
	Incorporate the review into germane committee process
	Create a standing joint interim committee
	Integrate a sunset clause when drafting a new or modifying an existing tax preference
	Include more detailed performance standards when drafting legislation affecting tax preferences
3	How will the Legislature define the review's scope?
	The Legislature should determine:
	Which tax preferences to include
	How frequently a preference should be reviewed
	What questions analysts should answer during the review
4	What resources will the Legislature dedicate to make the
	review effective?
	The Legislature should determine:
	The number of staff and where they should be located
	Which elements of the review should be set in statute, delegated to the legislative committee, and delegated to staff
	How to ensure analysts conducting the review will have authority to access necessary information